Shropshire Council: Shropshire Local Plan



Representation Form

Please complete a separate **Part B Representation Form** (this part) for each representation that you would like to make. One **Part A Representation Form** must be enclosed with your **Part B Representation Form(s)**.

We have also published a separate **Guidance Note** to explain the terms used and to assist in making effective representations.

Part B: Represer	ntation						
Name and Organisation:	Morville Parish Cou	ncil					
Q1. To which document does this representation relate?							
Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
Sustainability Appraisal of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan							
(Please tick one box)							
Q2. To which part of the	e document does	this re	presentation	n re	late?		
Paragraph:	Policy: S3	Site:	BRD030	Pc	Map:		
Q3. Do you consider the		re-Sub	mission D	raft o	f the		
Shropshire Local Plan is	S:						
A. Legally compliant		Yes:		No:			
B. Sound		Yes:		No:	\checkmark		
C. Compliant with the Duty	•	Yes:		No:			
(Please tick as appropriate).							
Q4. Please give details	of why you consid	er the	Regulation	19:	Pre-Submission		
Draft of the Shropshire fails to comply with the	duty to co-operat	egany te. Plea	compliant	or IS	unsound or		
fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Regulation 19: Pre-Submission Draft of the Shropshire Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.							
There has been very limited community engagement, as set out in the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). Prior to the Taylor Wimpey proposal, the only scheme being considered was Stanmore but it should be noted that consultation on the two main development proposals that we now have for Bridgnorth (Tasley and Stanmore) have been conducted using different mechanisms.							
The late emergence of the Tasley Ga pandemic, with no option for the pu Nor has the local community had the as a proper consultation process.	blic to examine the plans	in person	or discuss them	at exhil	bitions and meetings.		
A substantial number of objections to the Bridgnorth Place Plan were made to Shropshire Council through the Regulation 18 consultation, including objections from elected Councillors, the Town Council, Morville Parish Council and other neighbouring Parish Councils. Despite this, it would appear that no account has been taken of these views and no public explanation has been given as to why the views expressed have been ignored.							

It came as some surprise that an alternative proposal to Stanmore should come in so late; and due to COVID-19, there

was not the opportunity to hold public m Taylor Wimpey.	eetings and question the T	asley proposal directly with representatives of
surrounding Parish Councils was formed in	n June 2019 to consider the ablished in May 2020, arou	of Bridgnorth Town Council and some of the issues facing Bridgnorth and to develop a plan and the same time that the Tasley Garden Village considered by Shropshire Council at all.
Given the significance of the proposal, an expected there to have been a public deba context and at the same time.	d the fact that an alternativate that included the merits	re proposal was on the agenda, we would have (and otherwise) of both proposals in the same
	(Please or	ontinue on a separate sheet if necessary
Regulation 19: Pre-Submiss	fication(s) you cor ion Draft of the Sh pect of any legal c	sider necessary to make the
Please note that non-compliance wite examination. You will need to say w	th the duty to co-operat hy each modification w egally compliant or soun	ill make the Regulation 19: Pre-Submissio nd. It will be helpful if you are able to put
	views of the elected Shrop	shire Councillors for the area, the Town Council
2. Delay any decision on the Legal Con	mpliance and Soundness of	the Local Plan until the matters discussed under
Soundness have been resolved and an	y afternative options have o	een considered in detain.
	•	ontinue on a separate sheet if necessar
Please note: In your representate supporting information necessary modification(s). You should not a	to support your repres	sentation and your suggested
submissions.	iccione may only he	made if invited by the Inspector,
based on the matters and issu	es he or she identifi	es for examination.
Q6. If your representation i	s seeking a modifi	cation to the Regulation 19: Pre
Submission Draft of the Shi participate in examination I		n, do you consider it necessary to ?
	ovide an initial indicati	on of your wish to participate in hearing
No, I do not wish to partici		
Yes, I wish to participate in	n hearing session(s)	
(Please tick one box)		
Q7. If you wish to participa you consider this to be necessary		session(s), please outline why
700 continue tino to be need		Part A Reference:
	Office Use Only	Part B Reference:

proceedin	hat it is important to be able to participality in the detail, as it unfold ble are clearly articulated		
those who h	e: The Inspector will determine the most ap nave indicated that they wish to participate i your wish to participate when the Inspector l	in hearing session(s)	to adopt to hear You may be asked
Signature:	Eileen Reynolds	Date:	24/02/2021

Office Use Only

Part A Reference:

Part B Reference:

